

Steelman Telecom Limited

(Formerly Known as Steelman Telecom Private Limited)



Dated: 18.12.2023

To,
The Manager
Corporate Relationship Department
Bombay Stock Exchange Limited
1st Floor, New Trading Wing,
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Tower
Dalal Street, Fort, Mumbai-400001

Scrip Code: BSE: 543622

Dear Sir/Madam,

Sub: Intimation under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, we are happy to announce the following:

1.The Company has received a work orders worth of Rs.29 crores 70 lakhs (Rupees twenty-nine crores and seventy lakhs) from Bharti Airtel Services Limited for the purpose of Network Maintenance of Bharti Airtel.

The disclosure as per SEBI Circular No SEBI/HO/CFD-poD1/P/CIR/2023/123 dated July 13, 2023, is enclosed as **Annexure-A**

You are requested to take the above information on your record and oblige.

Thanking You,
Yours faithfully,

FOR STEELMAN TELECOM LIMITED

APARUPA DAS
(Company Secretary & Compliance Officer)
Mb No:42450

Steelman Telecom Limited

(Formerly Known as Steelman Telecom Private Limited)



Annexure-A

Sr No	Particulars	Details
1	Name of the entity awarding the Order(s)/Contract(s)	Bharti Airtel Services limited
2	Significant terms and condition Order(s)/Contract(s) in brief	Radio Site Maintenance Service Type: Professional Scope: Per Month Details: Services for Infra technicians with Safety and Tool kits MS Infra Technicians Insourcing
3	Whether order(s)/contract(s) is awarded to domestic/International entity	Domestic
4	Nature of Order(s)/Contract(s)	Network maintenance of Bharti Airtel Services limited
5	Time Period if any associated with the Order(s)/Contract(s)	1 Year
6	Consideration or size of the Order(s)/Contract(s)	Rs.29 crores 70 lakhs
7	Whether the promoter/promoter group Companies have any interest in that entity from whom the Order(s)/Contract(s) is awarded? If any nature of interest and details thereof.	No
8	Whether the same would fall within related party transactions? If yes Whether the same is done at "arm's length"	No